UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/823,655	04/14/2004	Tadashi Tsukamoto	Q80949	4962
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 12/04/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVÁNIA AVENUE, N	HENNING, MATTHEW T		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2431	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/823,655	TSUKAMOTO, TADASHI		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	WATTIEW T. HEINNING	2431	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITI	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notic replies: (1) an amendment, af al (with appeal fee) in compli	ce of Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evidence, v ance with 37 CFR 41.31; c	which places the or (3) a Request
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set ter than SIX MONTHS from the r	mailing date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding an hortened statutory period for repl	nount of the fee. The appropri y originally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 mus	st be filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e	e)), to avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor 	sideration and/or search (see		ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	**		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	, ,		he issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of final	ly rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		n-Compliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:] will be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under a	appeal and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the applicat	ion in condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.			
	/Christopher A. Re Primary Examiner,		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With regards to the applicant's argument that the specification provides proper support for the claim limitation which recites that the memory area is "identified by the ID information of the authorized user", the examiner has reviewed the portion cited by the applicant and does not find the argument persuasive. Again, this portion of the specification simply discloses that the folder is associated with the IMSI, but is silent to the memory area being identified by the IMSI.

Regarding the applicant's argument that Chujo does not teach or suggest "creating a memory area specified for a user when a UIM card of the user is inserted", the examiner does not find the argument persuasive. This is not a limitation which has been claimed/entered. As such, the examiner does not find the argument persuasive.

Continuation of 13. Other: Regarding 37 CFR 1.121, claim 20 does not include the proper status identifier..